234

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

1

E-FILED on 8/8/07

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

In re CONSECO INSURANCE CO. ANNUITY MARKETING & SALES PRACTICES LITIG.,

No. C-05-04726 RMW

This document relates to:

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

ALL ACTIONS

[Re Docket No. 88]

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant Conseco Insurance Company ("CIC") moves to dismiss all causes of action in the second amended complaint. The parties appeared for oral argument before the court on August 3, 2007. After considering the papers and argument of counsel, the court denies CIC's motion to dismiss except as to plaintiff's sixth claim for breach of fiduciary duty and seventh claim for aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty. Although the second amended complaint alleges, *inter alia*, that defendants trained individual sales agents to target senior citizens by publicizing financial and estate planning services at seminars and community service events for the elderly, SAC ¶¶ 26, 28, plaintiff's allegations do not establish how the defendants are responsible for any fiduciary duty that may exist between plaintiff and Zehner. Further, the court does not find that the statement set forth in CIC's marketing materials welcoming plaintiff to the annuity is alone sufficient to establish a

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT—No. C-05-04726 RMW MAG

For the Northern District of California	

28

United States District Court

	Case 5.05-CV-04720-RIVIVV D	ocument 96 Filed 08/08/07 Page 2 of 3	
1	fiduciary duty directly between plain	tiff and the defendants. Claims six and seven are dismissed	
2	with leave to amend. Plaintiff shall have 20 days from the date of this order to amend his complaint		
3			
4			
5	DATED: <u>8/7/07</u>	Romald M Whyte	
6		RÔNALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT—No. C-05-04726 RMW 2 MAG

1	Notice of this document has been electronically sent to:		
2	Counsel for Plaintiffs:		
3		afriedman@bffb.com	
4 5 6 7	John J. Stoia, Jr. Michael D Thamer Patricia N. Syverson Phong L. Tran Rachel Lynn Jensen Steven M. Jodlowski Theodore Joseph Pintar	fk@classactionlaw.com jstoia@lerachlaw.com ptran@lerachlaw.com rachelj@lerachlaw.com sjodlowski@lerachlaw.com TedP@lerachlaw.com	
891011	Stephen R. Basser Christa L. Collins J. Andrew Meyer John A. Yanchunis Louise H. Renne	ievans@publiclawgroup.com sbasser@barrack.com helenz@lerachlaw.com	
12	Counsel for Defendant:		
13141516	Mark L Karasik Thomas A. Doyle Heather B. Hoesterey Linda B. Oliver	James.J.Dries@bakernet.com Mark.L.Karasik@bakernet.com Thomas.A.Doyle@bakernet.com hhoesterey@reedsmith.com LOliver@ReedSmith.com RPhillips@ReedSmith.com	
17 18 19	Counsel are responsible for di registered for e-filing under the	stributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not ne court's CM/ECF program.	
202122232425262728	Dated: 8/8/07	/s/ MAG Chambers of Judge Whyte	
	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND COMPLAINT—No. C-05-04726 RMW MAG	D DENYING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND AMENDED 3	